

CQCAssure Case Study

Three Case Studies

Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust



Ready for inspection - Using CQCAssure for self- assessment

Deborah Pullen, Compliance & Assurance Lead at Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust, shares her experience of preparing for CQC Inspection against the KLoE framework.

Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh Foundation Trust is an acute Trust serving the people of the Borough of Wigan. Innovative and forward thinking, the Trust is dedicated to providing the best possible healthcare for the local population of over 300,000. Operating across three hospital sites, a state-of-the-art outpatient's centre, a dedicated Eye Unit at the Wigan Health Centre and also working from offices at Buckingham Row in Wigan town centre, the Trust has 758 inpatient beds and invests over £220 million each year in a broad range of highly regarded general and specialist acute services.

Francis, Keogh and Berwick reviews illustrated the need for strong patient-focused leadership and accurate and useful information. Additionally they highlighted the need for leaders to fully understand improvements which can be made to patient safety, and to engage and empower staff to develop, by providing them with opportunities, making improvements to systems and processes within their working practice and environment.

The Trust was notified of its impending CQC Inspection during the Summer of 2015. Having joined the Trust in June 2015, one of my main objectives for the year was to identify and implement a way of reviewing compliance with the CQC's Key

Lines of Enquiry (KLoE) at ward and departmental level. Whilst the 6-monthly internal inspections already undertaken by the Trust for several years were extremely valuable (and for each inspection we capture ten things to celebrate and ten things to improve), there was a real opportunity to delve much deeper into the wards and departments and to ask the key individuals in those teams to provide self-assessments of their own areas. The assessments would then be signed off by Sponsors. We aimed the assessments at highlighting any areas for improvement and capturing actions required to improve compliance, as well as highlighting areas which were good and outstanding.

The Trust received great support from Allocate Software in setting up CQCAssure to match our services and sites so that we could start to use, in a short timeframe, CQCAssure as well as ongoing support and guidance. This mapping occurred during August and September 2015, with the deadline set for staff to complete the self-assessments of 13 November 2015. The Trust's CQC Inspection took place during week commencing 7 December 2015.

Our CQCAssure system was set up with an "accountability owner" for each ward/department who was responsible for the self-assessment of the ward/department against the Key Lines of Enquiry. The "accountability sponsor" was able to monitor what progress the owner was making in completing the self-assessments. The sponsors were predominantly Heads of Nursing. The sponsor was alerted by email of any overdue actions by the "owner". "Shared users" tended to be matrons and governance leads who had the same access as the owner and were able to input updates and submit the self assessments to the organisation.

The individual KLoE ratings given by each ward and department were aggregated into ward, department, divisional and trust-wide compliance tables and can be displayed in various dashboards and reports. These were presented to our CQC Stakeholder Committee in advance of our CQC inspection. An Allocate Software representative was also invited to attend this meeting

to demo our progress and celebrate our success. The self-assessments undertaken by ward and departmental managers were linked within the system to the appropriate directorates, divisions, hospital sites and eight core services. The self-assessments required the key staff to make the same judgements used by the CQC in relation to individual areas. Staff found the system quite intuitive in the main and only required short, group training sessions and then they were provided with a hand-out with screenshots.

We experienced really great buy-in from our staff, including ward and departmental managers, matrons, governance colleagues, Heads of Nursing and Executives. Within an 8-12 week period, our staff completed 71 sets of clinical review, which comprised of the five KLoE, totalling an impressive 355 self-assessments.

I feel having the CQCAssure system really helped in our preparations for our CQC Inspection and enabled a wide range of staff to consider and self-assess their areas against the KLoE in advance of the CQC Inspection. This provided invaluable preparation and also organisational insight. It provided a fantastic opportunity for operational staff at ward and department level to really get into the detail of the KLoE which positively contributed to improving their confidence prior to and during our inspection. I'm eagerly awaiting receipt of our CQC report and then being able to compare our self-assessments against the final judgements of the CQC.

Kent Community Health 
NHS Foundation Trust



Increased staff engagement with CQCAssure

Jane Burgess, Head of Standards Assurance at Kent Community Health NHS Foundation Trust gives an update on clinical review framework implementation

Kent Community Health NHS Foundation Trust (KCHFT) is implementing the new clinical review framework on HealthAssure. This new, leaner system complements the Trust's revised assurance process, which asks all staff from frontline to team leader to head of service to contribute to the self-assessment process.

Jane Burgess, Head of Standards Assurance at KCHFT tells us "The system has been configured to our Trust's individual needs. Approximately 80 clinical reviews have been created, one for each clinical service with approximately 200 staff being identified as users. A clinical review shows each KLoE as a theme to be assessed by the head of service. This means there are 23 assessments in total to complete for each service, as opposed to the 171 prompts in the old "Outcomes" framework. Each Head of Service is responsible for completing the assessment for their

service. The data to inform their assessments come from team and individual self-evaluation tools provided to teams. These tools are designed around the KLoEs, put into a language that staff can understand and relate to.

Training of heads of services, team leaders and administrators is currently underway, with approximately 200 staff being identified as users and invited to attend a session. Feedback to date has been mostly positive, both from brand new users and from those used to the previous, more labour-intensive HealthAssure and CQC framework.



Comments include, "excited to use the new simplified system", "so much easier", "wonderful process – straightforward", "now it seems much more useful", and "made it real".

The Rotherham 
NHS Foundation Trust

Good quality self-assessments of compliance against the KLoE

Lisa Reid, Head of Governance & Assurance, at The Rotherham NHS Foundation Trust leveraged implementing CQCAssure to train staff and prepare for inspection

The Trust decided to move to the new KLoE version of CQCAssure from Q4 2014/15 one quarter ahead of the introduction of the new KLoEs by the CQC.

We took this decision for two reasons:

- 1) To allow our staff to become familiar with assessing compliance against the KLoEs before they came into force
- 2) Because the period during which we trained staff on the new application (January 2015) coincided with the period during which we were preparing for a comprehensive inspection of our acute and community service by the CQC which took place at the end of February 2015.

As such when training staff on the new CQCAssure system, I took the opportunity to not only explain the new KLoEs but to also explain the CQC's new inspection methodology and to answer questions from front line staff about the upcoming inspection. This led to very interactive sessions with staff which evaluated well and led to good quality self-assessments of compliance against the KLoE.

